

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,222		07/21/2003	Karl Gramling	P-7988 2742			
30553	7590	09/30/2004		EXAMINER			
GUNN, LE				KATCHEVES, BASIL S			
SUITE 1500		TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78205				3635			

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/1 ¥
, .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10
Office Antique Comments	10/623,222	GRAMLING, KARL	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Basil Katcheves	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communi  TO (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	ulv 2003		
_	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 9,10 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: <u>Marked fig.</u> 4	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected for being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the language between the preamble and certain portions of the body of the claim are inconsistent. The claim is drawn to a subcombination gutter clip but then recites a gutter and retaining member setting forth a positive relation appearing to be a combination. Applicant should note the claims have been examined assuming a combination. Clarification is required. Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, the claim is drawn to a subcombination gutter clip but then recites limitations of a retaining member setting forth a positive relation between the clip and retaining member appearing to be a combination. Applicant should note the claims have been examined assuming a combination. Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 10, the claim is drawn to a subcombination gutter clip but then recites limitations of a gutter setting forth a positive relation between the clip and gutter appearing to be a combination. Applicant should note the claims have been examined assuming a combination. Clarification is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,960,591 to Schluter.

Regarding claim 1, Schluter discloses a gutter retaining system comprised of a clip, a retaining member, and a gutter. Schluter also discloses the gutter clip as having a locking tip contiguous with a nib end, the nib end being adjacent to a hanger portion, the hanger portion being contiguous to a U portion, the U portion being adjacent to a vertical portion, the hanger portion and vertical portion being approximately parallel and the nib end is angled outward from the hanger portion and the locking tip is angled inward toward the vertical portion whereby the clip is locked within and between the gutter and retaining member (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 2, Schluter discloses a base adjacent the vertical portion (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Schluter discloses an extension adjacent the base (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 4, Schluter discloses a back face mounted against the gutter back wall (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 5, Schluter discloses a first elbow between the vertical portion and the base (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 6, Schluter discloses a second elbow between the base and the extension (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 7, Schluter discloses a lower U portion adjacent to the extension (see attached marked fig. 4).

Regarding claim 8, Schluter discloses an end adjacent the lower U portion (see attached marked fig. 4).

### Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The indication of allowable subject matter is provisional and subject to reconsideration upon receipt of the amended claims to comply with the objections pointed out above".

Claim 10 is objected to for depending from claim 9.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to gutter clips in general.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,222

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 306-0232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (703) 308-0832.

BK

Basil Katcheves

9/27/04

Examiner AU 3635

Page 5